

|  |   |              |
|--|---|--------------|
| Stanford University<br><b>HRPP Policy<br/>Guidance</b> | <b>Exempt Review Categories</b><br><b>Common Rule, VA, FDA, Stanford Policy</b> | GUI-4<br>1/2 |
|--|---|--------------|

*See Common Rule 45 CFR 46.101 for full text;  
See VA 38 CFR 16.101 for full text*

(b) Unless otherwise required by department or agency heads, research activities in which the only involvement of human subjects will be in one or more of the following categories are exempt from this policy:

- (1) Research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, involving normal educational practices, such as
- (i) research on regular and special education instructional strategies, or
  - (ii) research on the effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods.

(2) Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior, **unless**:

- (i) Information obtained is recorded in such a manner that human subjects can be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; **and**
- (ii) any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research could reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, or reputation.\*

\*or risk of loss of insurability **38 CFR 16.101(VA)**

(3) Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures, or observation of public behavior that is not exempt under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, if:

- (i) the human subjects are elected or appointed public officials or candidates for public office; **or**
- (ii) federal statute(s) require(s) without exception that the confidentiality of the personally identifiable information will be maintained throughout the research and thereafter.

(4) Research, involving the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic specimens, if these sources are **publicly available** or if the information is **recorded by the investigator in such a manner that subjects cannot be identified**, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects.

(5) Research and demonstration projects which are conducted by or subject to the approval of department or agency heads, and which are designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine:

- (i) Public benefit or service programs;
- (ii) procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs;
- (iii) possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures; or
- (iv) possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.

(6) Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies,

- (i) if wholesome foods without additives are consumed or
- (ii) if a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe, or agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

***Exempt status shall not be granted when:***

- Research involves prisoners as participants, pursuant to subpart C (45 CFR 46.305 (a))
- Categories (1) through (5) apply and research is subject to FDA regulations
- Category (b)(2) applies and research involves children as participants, except for research involving observations of public behavior when the investigator(s) do not participate in the activities being observed, pursuant to subpart D (45 CFR 46.401 (b)).
- The project involves significant physical invasions or intrusions upon the privacy of participants.
- Category 7 applies and research is federally funded.

***All protocols must meet*** STANFORD HRPP ethical standards governing the conduct of research (e.g., acceptable risk-benefit relationship, equitable selection, informed consent, protections of privacy, main

|  |   |              |
|--|---|--------------|
| Stanford University<br><b>HRPP Policy<br/>Guidance</b> | <b>Exempt Review Categories<br/>Common Rule, VA, FDA, Stanford Policy</b> | GUI-4<br>2/2 |
|--|---|--------------|

**Stanford Category 7: Benign Behavioral Interventions – Only applies to non-federally funded Social & Behavioral (Non-Medical) Research**

(7)(i) Research involving benign behavioral interventions in conjunction with the collection of information from an adult subject through verbal or written responses (including data entry) or audiovisual recording if the subject prospectively agrees to the intervention and information collection and at least one of the following criteria is met:

- (A) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects cannot readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; or
- (B) Any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation.

(ii) For the purpose of this provision, benign behavioral interventions are brief in duration, harmless, painless, not physically invasive, not likely to have a significant adverse lasting impact on the subjects, and the investigator has no reason to think the subjects will find the interventions offensive or embarrassing. Provided all such criteria are met, examples of such benign behavioral interventions would include having the subjects play an online game, having them solve puzzles under various noise conditions, or having them decide how to allocate a nominal amount of received cash between themselves and someone else.

(iii) If the research involves deceiving the subjects regarding the nature or purposes of the research, this exemption is not applicable unless the subject authorizes the deception through a prospective agreement to participate in research in circumstances in which the subject is informed that he or she will be unaware of or misled regarding the nature or purposes of the research.

**FDA 21 CFR 56.104**

The following categories of clinical investigations are exempt from the requirements of this part for IRB review:

- (a) Any investigation which commenced before July 27, 1981 and was subject to requirements for IRB review under FDA regulations before that date, provided that the investigation remains subject to review of an IRB which meets the FDA requirements in effect before July 27, 1981.
- (b) Any investigation commenced before July 27, 1981 and was not otherwise subject to requirements for IRB review under Food and Drug Administration regulations before that date.
- (c) Emergency use of a test article, provided that such emergency use is reported to the IRB within 5 working days. Any subsequent use of the test article at the institution is subject to IRB review.
- (d) Taste and food quality evaluations and consumer acceptance studies, if wholesome foods without additives are consumed or if a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe, or agricultural, chemical, or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

**Note:** For clinical investigations meeting FDA categories (a) and (b), IRB review is not required. For category (c), see IRB procedures and forms found [here](#). For studies meeting FDA category (d), IRB eProtocol review and certification are required.